

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,410	02/10/2004	Hermann Stahl	87333.2462	1083
7590 11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			GABOR, OTILIA	
Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036	•		2884	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,410	STAHL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Otilia Gabor	2884	
The MAILING DATE of this communic. Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing of the provision of	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	r) This action is non-final. Frallowance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Application Papers	withdrawn from consideration. on and/or election requirement.		
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to the 	204 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyane correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/774,410

Art Unit: 2884

Response to Amendment

Page 2

1. The amendment filed 04/21/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by McVey (U. S. Patent 6,875,399).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4 McVey discloses a measuring device for determining the concentrations of gases by infrared absorption with a modulable radiation source (100), which together with two radiation detectors (122, 124, 126, 128) that are located in the beam path (104), one of which is a measuring detector and another one a reference detector, is located in the interior of a housing (see Fig.2) which is sealed and gastight with respect to the gas to be measured, wherein at least one infrared permeable window (112) which closes off the interior housing with respect to the gas is located between the source (100) and the radiation detectors (122, 124, 126, 128), characterized that the beam path between the source and the detector is split into three sections: first section (98) located between the source (100) and the first infrared

Art Unit: 2884

permeable window (112), the second section (102) which is accessible to the gas and which extends between the first window (112) and a second infrared permeable window (116), and a third section (94) located between the second window (116) and the detectors (122..128). McVey discloses that the housing is made of either aluminum, lead, glass or PTFE all of which are dimensionally stable with respect to temperatures up to at least 100 degrees Celsius (see Fig.2 and corresponding description). McVey also discloses that the measuring system is part of an incubator where the measuring chamber is heated with a heater (82) (see Fig.1 and corresponding description).

Regarding claims 3 and 11 McVey discloses (see Fig.7) that at least one beam splitter (162..166) is located in the beam path of the irradiation source (100), and that the beam splitter and the detectors are located in a separate sealed off detector module (160).

Regarding claim 6 McVey discloses that the measuring section (102) is made of aluminum (see Col.9, lines (29-34).

Regarding claims 7 McVey discloses that each of the three sections are located in interior spaces of the housing but are themselves sealed in individual housings (se Fig.2).

Regarding claim 8 McVey discloses detection of carbon dioxide.

Regarding claims 10, 12 McVey discloses that the radiation source is located in its own separate module (98) made of aluminum and that it contains a reflector (101) turned away from the measurement section (102). (see Fig.2).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,410 Page 4

Art Unit: 2884

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 5, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McVey.

Regarding claim 5 McVey fails to disclose that the infrared permeable window is made of calcium fluoride, however since he fails to limit the type of material used but requires that it be infrared permeable, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use calcium fluoride as the material, since it is well known that calcium fluoride window are infrared permeable and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (*In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416).

Page 5

Regarding claim 9 McVey fails to specifically limit the size of the different sections, but since he fails to limit the size it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the claimed length range since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable and/or discovering an optimum or workable range where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, involves only routine skill in the art (*In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233; *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 04/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument presented by the Applicant that the reference fails to disclose the newly added limitation that the infrared permeable windows are located in the measuring cuvette is not persuasive, because McVey clearly discloses that the windows (112, 116) are inside the measurement cuvette (cuvette is the portion that includes the two windows and the measuring section 102, which is similar to the cuvette (1) in the present invention).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2884

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,410

Art Unit: 2884

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Otilia Gabor

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2884

OTILIA GABOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER